

CANDOUR

*The British
Views - Letter*

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Vol 41, No 10

Registered at the G.P.O. as a Newspaper

October 1989

The A.B.C. of Politics

THE ABOMINATION OF LEGALISED ABORTION

by ROSINE DE BOUNEVIALLE

THE screaming viragos who line the streets when a pro-life procession goes by would have us believe that procuring an abortion is a personal and private act. "Termination of a pregnancy," as it is euphemistically called, is "the right of any woman to do what she likes with her own body," the would-be murderess proclaims. This is patently not true. Despite all the efforts at distortion made by the whole gang of politicians and doctors who legislate and perform these acts (thereby making themselves accessories before and/or after the fact), it is impossible honestly not to recognise that the little body inside the womb is a baby; and since from the moment of conception nothing but food has been added to the fertilised egg, it always was a baby. Deliberately to kill it is murder.

Our society accepted the legalisation of this crime without significant protest, and the media (as we call our organs of mis-communication) have conspired to conceal the moral and physical ravages directly or indirectly caused by this now commonplace legal evil.

For the first time in their history, the English or those who act in their name have established laws to allow wrong to be done. Formerly the whole of their jurisprudence embodied the dictum: let right be done.

First was made start in positively permitting wrong to be done and now there is no foreseeable end to it. Children are persuaded that fornication with contraception and/or abortion are "good for them." No one in the Establishment warns them of the physical and psychological damage that will result.

Women are exhorted to destroy any baby that fails to meet their arbitrary requirements in number, health, sex or mere social convenience. Now, the medical murderers want to justify themselves by "making life." Thus more fertilised seed is washed down the drain whilst "the creator" gets it right. How many lives did Louise Brown cost?

The wanton destruction of our own race is ignored. We cannot go on being silent accessories to this genocide without losing our integrity. As long as it is permitted legally to murder the baby in the womb, what "right to life" has the baby out of the womb? If we continue to kill our own children, how can we claim the right to occupy our own land without let or hindrance from the floods of alien races legally and illegally allowed here (who for the most part, incidentally, do not practise legal infanticide).

The abomination of legalised abortion is the basis of all our perversions. It is the sin of Cain which if unrepented will destroy our race as his was destroyed. In season and out of season we must proclaim this truth. However near and dear the would-be aborter is to us, we must not hesitate to condemn such an act as murder and prevent its commission if we can, whilst offering all other aid and sympathy.

Make sure that "family planners" and "abortion clinics" are exposed to the young as the murder promoters they are. Make "Save The Children" mean what it says. Save our own children instead of salving elastic consciences by "do gooding" in African states made charnal houses by colonial desertion.

If once we managed to force the legislators to deal with this crime as it deserves, we might find ourselves able to eradicate all other subversive permissions and enjoy a healthy Christian State again. Such an end is devoutly to be wished, but only persevering exertion can bring it about.

A WORLD OF LIES ABOUT SOUTH AFRICA

by NOEL HUNT

ALL politicians love talking about South Africa. It lets them express fashionable attitudes amid a cloud of cant and hypocrisy. It does not matter in the least that what they say is, in terms of the realities of Africa, the sheerest nonsense.

All who speak on the subject insist that what they wish to see in South Africa is "democracy." By this they apparently mean that they wish to see universal suffrage and the creation of a multi-racial state. They blandly ignore the chaos and misery which universal suffrage and multi-racialism have brought in their train throughout the world. They profess to be unable to understand why the White South African should hesitate for a moment to create in his lovely and well-ordered land replicas of Brixton, Handsworth and Toxteth.

It is almost obligatory for the pontificator to call for the immediate release of Nelson Mandela as an essential prerequisite for what they describe as "progress." The politicians lie, and know that they lie, when they say that Mandela intends to create a multi-racial South Africa.

Mandela gave evidence on oath when being tried for treason at Rivonia. He said then, "I have dedicated my life to end White domination. It is an ideal I hope I live to see realised. It is also an ideal for which I am prepared to die." On his own showing, Mandela does not wish to see a multi-racial democracy in South Africa. All that he intends to do is to replace White domination by Black. He has said so. Can it be supposed that the British and American politicians who call for his release, and their advisers as well, are not well aware of this? Of course they are! They lie in their pretence that Mandela's release will speed "democracy", and they know it. They know, too, that what they really intend is not to give Blacks a share of political power, but to remove all power from the Whites.

When our politicians talk about "Namibia" they lie too, and know that they lie. They pretend to believe that a Black government dominated by SWAPO will bring about a multi-racial society. They do not believe what they say, and for a very good reason. It is that Sam Nujoma of SWAPO has stated in public several times that his aim is not a multi-racial state but a Black one.

These tireless talkers must know of the Programme of Action of the Organisation of African Unity. They know too that both SWAPO and the ANC are members of this pernicious group. They know that it is a Communist front, held together only by envy and hatred of the White race. In its Programme the OAU says, "We cannot compromise with any White government, extreme or liberal, or agree to multi-racial nonsense. We are determined to destroy all traces of White civilisation. The rivers of the South are to run red with the blood of the White tyrants and their children." The politicians and their advisers must know this perfectly well. Yet they tirelessly urge South Africans to come to terms with people who admit that they have no intention other than to destroy them. Truly a world of lies.

Crocker's Push For Black Rule

If further proof is needed to show that the West knows perfectly well what it is doing in South Africa, consider the words of Mr Chester Crocker of the US State Department. Giving evidence before a Committee of the House of Representatives, he said, "In pushing [his word] South Africa towards democracy they were also, and quite inevitably, pushing her towards Black rule." Not multi-racial rule, be it noted, but Black rule. Mr Crocker agreed that "majority rule in South Africa was inherent in administration policy." For good measure he added that the US Government "looks on the communist terrorists as freedom fighters in the general sense." It is thus clear that US politicians lie when they talk of creating a multi-racial South Africa. They have no intention of doing any such thing. They wish merely to see White rule ended.

Britain, of course, hastens to follow the American lead: when did she not? Mrs Lynda Chalker, formerly Minister at the Foreign Office, said recently, "With regard to majority rule, we cannot support a society which does not give a vote to each and every one of its people." When one considers what multi-racialism and universal suffrage have done to destroy once-Great Britain and America, it is strange indeed to hear politicians advocating it for other countries. They must either all be sadists or - and this is far more likely - the "useful idiots" as Lenin called them, who wittingly or unwittingly do the Communists' work for them.

Both Britain and America pretend - it is no more than a pretence - that they oppose Russia's intention to rule the world. Both keep a close check on what Russia says and does. So they must be aware of what the Soviet leader Brezhnev had to say in a speech to the Supreme Soviet in 1973. He said, "Our aim is to gain control of the two great treasure houses on which the West depends...the energy treasure house of the Persian Gulf and the mineral treasure house of Central and Southern Africa."

For practical purposes the West has been evicted from the Gulf, thus losing the Western energy treasure house. The mineral treasure house of Central Africa - Zimbabwe - is now a Communist fief. The assault on

South Africa increases steadily in power and ferocity. The West knows this perfectly well. Yet the pretence is universal that what they are trying to do is merely bring democracy and multi-racialism to South Africa. They lie, of course; what they really seek to do is to destroy White rule in South Africa. The dictionary tells us that genocide is the deliberate extermination of a race. This is what our politicians are doing, in fact, in South Africa: they are making it certain that the White race will be exterminated there. What is more, they know it.

When it suited her, Britain went to war over the fate of a few thousand Falklanders. It was suddenly discovered that they were "kith and kin." So, of course, no sacrifice was too great if it would help our kith and kin in the Falklands. South Africa contains about two-and-a-quarter million kith and kin, and about another two million who are racially akin. Yet nobody is suggesting that anything be done for them. Rhodesia contained far more kith and kin than the Falklands. Yet one of the first acts of Mrs Thatcher's first ministry was to compel our Rhodesian kith and kin to jettison the properly-elected multi-racial government of Bishop Muzorewa and put in its place the present Marxist-Leninist regime. There were plenty of kith and kin in India, too. Who today gives a hoot for the kith and kin still in India and Zimbabwe? Some kith, it seems, is more kin than others!

It seems clear that behind the scenes a force operates which is sufficiently powerful to compel America, Britain and the USSR to act as it dictates, even against their own vital interests and the wishes of the bulk of their peoples. We are unlikely to discover who or what controls this force until the day after World Government becomes a fact. By then it will be too late to do anything about it: we shall all be slaves, as has been the plan all along.

PATRICK WALSH - CANADA LOSES A GREAT PATRIOT

WE were saddened to read in the September issue of **The Canadian Intelligence Service** that on August 3rd Patrick Walsh suffered a heart attack and died. He was in his 74th year and had been in poor health for some time. The following notes are taken from Pat's obituary, written by his long-standing colleague and fellow-campaigner, Ron Gostick.

"Patrick was born in Quebec City 73 years ago last St Patrick's Day. In his teens he joined a religious order, his training and service including a stint in Africa with the White Fathers. Back in Canada, Pat became active in Catholic social action, and with the advent of World War II he joined the Canadian Army. Following the war, Pat served as an undercover agent with the RCMP, infiltrating communist fronts and left-wing penetration of unions. Then, about the beginning of the 1950s he came 'above ground,' exposing Red infiltration and danger within Canada and testifying before US security committees....

"In the early '60s, he moved to our headquarters in Flesherton, concentrating his efforts on research and lecturing for the Canadian League of Rights... Pat's work with us involved a tremendous amount of travel both nationally and overseas, and [he] became known far and wide for his vast knowledge of the Communist conspiracy and subversive activities... He gave his all, his spirit and substance and very life, in service of God and country. May his soul rest in peace, and his life inspire us to carry on the battle for freedom."

THE FAILURE OF THE MULTI-RACIAL SOCIETY

by FRANK BROOKES

AT long last, from the heart of Establishment thinking, comes the reluctant admission that **Candour**, the British National Party, the National Front and all other voices of British Nationalism have been right all along. The attempt to enforce a multi-racial, multi-cultural Britain has failed! In an article in the **Daily Telegraph** of 29th July, 1989, W.F. Deedes, former Chairman of the Select Committee on Race Relations, confesses: "It is easy enough to condemn apartheid in South Africa. Much harder to accept that multi-culturalism here is unattainable and that we may have to edge to what South African Nationalists euphemistically call 'separate but equal'."

Ignoring the "euphemistically" dig, what has the **Telegraph** contributor to offer? Nothing less than an abject admission that those who tried to foist such a multi-racial society upon us just did not read the signs of the times correctly. They under-estimated, not so much the "soft British" reaction to integration, but the reaction of the foreign incomers! And let it not be forgotten that among the liberal multi-racialists were - and are - Christian Church leaders, Bishops and Archbishops, who it seems have once again been shown up as ignorant and naive when they step out of their legitimate domain.

Stifling The Voice Of Dissent

Mr Deedes' admissions come late in the day, but at least he has made some admission. However, he still argues that the Rushdie affair has brought to a head an issue which had been "lurking" in the background of British politics. That is rubbish! Many British Nationalists have been warning for decades that this type of society would not, and could not, work - but they were denied a public platform. Enoch Powell's warnings found echo in the hearts of millions of Britons who could not make their own voices heard. So the signs, the feelings, the arguments against have all been there all the time. What happened was simply that they were not allowed public expression.

The liberal attitude adopted by the "British" Race Relations industry is now threatened by the illiberal attitude of a minority which their race regulations were designed to protect, writes Mr Deedes, adding that "it is hard to avoid a wry smile." A wry smile at having, through sheer pig-headed policy, brought to almost total ruin a healthy, homogeneous society? That's not the way I regard it. They confidently expected a society of "mutual tolerance," Mr Deedes continues. "We enjoined it on our own citizens and it was not to be entertained that anyone would dissent." What colossal cheek! What stupidity! And now it is admitted that the multi-racial hens are coming home to roost!

Patriots like John Tyndall, John Morse and Joe Pearce were sent to prison for warning that the recipe of the liberals was simply a recipe for disaster. While such as Mr Deedes and the establishment politicians and the clergy of all denominations were posing as the broad-minded exponents of tolerance, while castigating as evil the patriots in our country, it was in fact the latter who were concerned over, not only their own race, but also the well-being of others coming into a society whose future must have a huge question-mark hanging over it.

Yet still the stooges of the establishment peddle the same dictated recipe for disaster, with only the "wry smile" of William Deedes as public protest. There seems to be inbuilt into the British mentality an inability to learn from the past - until it is too late. Former Home Secretary Roy Jenkins said of the Asians, "They have obviously not merged their culture and still less their religion. They were not expected to." So what sort of society was expected? Totally different colours, creeds, philosophies, ways of life, all living happily cheek by jowl? Only a fool or a knave could possibly advocate such.

Mr Deedes admits that the idea of assimilation and integration is now just a dead duck. So what solution can possibly be left? The Rushdie affair has brought the matter to a head in a way in which no White person could have done. They would not have been allowed to. But now that the pressure is building up to explosive proportions, what then?

Possibly separate Moslem schools would be one way forward. But isn't this the first step on the slippery slope of the hated apartheid? Or perhaps India could spare some "peace-keeping" troops from Sri Lanka to police the streets of Dewsbury and Bradford! Bit by bit now the whole sorry, dishonest plot is being admitted, even though, says Mr Deedes, the issue is very difficult to discuss objectively. Even research "has to tread carefully." What "research," I wonder? Where has there ever been any effort to discuss the race issue objectively outside the samizdat of the nationalist movement? And whose fault is it but that of the people allegedly in power who have steadfastly shunned any opinion other than their own? We need not expect many admissions of failure and/or error along these lines from the Thatchers, the Kinnocks, the Steels or the Owens of the party game. Nor should we look for any recantation from the bishops and their clergy. They have thrown their lot in with the liberal tools of the reigning Money Power.

The last word belongs to William Deedes, reminding you that he is a former Chairman of the Select Committee on Race Relations. We should, he says, "rid ourselves of certain cherished delusions, the chief of which is that one day, somehow, we shall become one homogeneous people. We shall not [emphasis added]."

The Ideal Christmas Gift

A TRADITIONALIST'S ANTHOLOGY by ELIZABETH, LADY FREEMAN

[We are pleased to publish this review of the late Lady Freeman's book. It appeared in slightly longer form in the latest issue of **The English Magazine**, which is available from B.M. Perfect, London W.C.1 for a subscription of ten pounds.]

THIS splendid volume is a good example of the value of keeping a commonplace book. It comprises a selection of passages from a wide variety of sources on an almost equally wide variety of themes culled from a commonplace book kept over several decades by Lady Freeman. As well as the names one might expect to find in such an anthology - Burke, Chesterton, Dr Johnson, Shakespeare, Tennyson - there are many names which might come as a surprise. Indeed, one of the minor fascinations of this book is the way it often reveals the ubiquity of the traditional sense up until very recently even among those most notable for their

contributions to the "liberal" cause. In a world where history is read from the left-hand pages only, the evil that men say and do tends to live after them while the good is oft interred with the bones of civilisation.

How many people know, I wonder, that Lord Acton, most often quoted as saying, "All power corrupts; absolute power corrupts absolutely," also said, "Democracy inevitably takes the tone of the lower portions of society and degrades the higher." Or that Dr Albert Schweitzer, that secular saint in the pimply hagiology of race-mixing internationalism, wrote, "The negro is a child, and with children nothing can be done without the use of authority. With regard to the negroes, I have coined the formula: 'I am your brother, it is true, but your elder brother'." Or that Christabel Pankhurst said, "The inherent weakness of democracy is that it degenerates into either dictatorship or headless confusion."

Miss Pankhurst, in fact, has a number of noteworthy quotations in this book. It was she who said, "Churches were not commissioned by Christ to establish international peace, and it is presumptuous of them to suppose that it can be achieved before His return. Pacifist Christians disarm themselves, but fail to disarm the enemy." What an amusing heroine for the "wimmin" who are said to haunt our commons.

Other quotations from curious sources are less pleasing, but well worthy of consideration for the way in which they lay bare the underlying ideology of the modern world, usually disguised with more discreet liberal-pluralist euphemisms. Take this excerpt from a lecture by Dr Brock Chisholm, just before he became Director General of the World Health Organisation:

"Let us accept our own responsibility to remodel the world... World Government may be established by developing world citizens with a state of emotional security which fits one to be a citizen of a democracy... This state of emotional maturing can be achieved by destroying traditional moral values. The reinterpretation and eventual eradication of the concept of right and wrong has been the basis of child training."

But this is not merely a political book. There are many passages of immense spiritual and cultural value. The sections on love, death, happiness and sorrow, for example, contain passages from Shakespeare, Tennyson, Yeats, Belloc, Socrates, Keats, Alice Meynell, La Rochefoucauld, Byron, Sir Thomas Browne and many other writers. In these sections it is rather like any bedside anthology, but with the added and indispensable advantage that one can browse through it in the confidence that one is not suddenly going to be offended by a piece of drivel from Ghandi, Martin Luther King or some unspeakable "pop" lyricist.

The book is highly recommended as a fascinating and enlightening work, to which you return to browse time and time again.

A Traditionalist's Anthology is available from Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire at £9.50 including UK postage

Read SPEARHEAD. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the British National Party. Sample copy 80p from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

APPEAL TO ALL READERS

WOULD any of our kind readers care to contribute to a "welcome back" party for the returning Tamils now seeking political asylum in the U.K. on account of the fact that, after their trouble-free sojourn in Sri Lanka, they can no longer be classed as refugees? As Amnesty International says, "It makes it so much easier for others to come here."

Did any of you hear the "Call Nick Ross" programme, incidentally, about Britain's policy on admitting (or not admitting) refugees? We were, naturally, well and truly condemned - though not by all the callers - for our hard-heartedness. We were told of the horrendous tortures allegedly experienced by refugees before coming here; of the horrors and death they faced if sent back to their countries of origin. One thing struck us. It seems that a necessary corollary of accepting these people as genuine would be the immediate expulsion of their countries' embassies here and the cessation of relations, and the imposition of sanctions, i.e. no trade, and certainly no aid. Yet no-one seems to think of this side of the argument - except, as we know, in the case of South Africa, where such abhorrent things happen as the whites being reluctant to share their beaches with countless hordes of blacks.

Such disgraceful racist crimes against humanity as that must be punished, of course! Don't the wicked white neo-Nazi sun-bathers of South Africa realise that before their ancestors arrived there, the beaches were enjoyed by Zulus and all the rest relaxing in their deck-chairs, while their kids enjoyed themselves with buckets and spades building sand-castles? Don't they know that a stroll along the promenade was always a prominent part of indigenous African culture?

NEW FROM CANDOUR TAPES: Hear DAVID IRVING speaking at the 1983 Institute for Historical Review annual conference in the U.S.A. Available on two C60 tapes for only £7. Please make cheques payable to CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT and send to Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire.

ENGLISH translation just published: **TO THE MORAL APOSTLES OF OUR TIME AND OTHER ESSAYS** by MANFRED ROEDER. Price £2.35 including postage. Apply to B.M. BEEJAY, LONDON WC1N 3XX.

LITERATURE COLLECTORS: We have many surplus issues of two in-depth anti-Communist journals from the 1960s and '70s: **EAST-WEST DIGEST** and **A.B.N. CORRESPONDENCE**. Only 75p each (or 50p each on orders over £5). Write to CANDOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT.

ESSENTIAL reading for all students of history: **THE HISTORICAL NOVELS AND OTHER HISTORICAL WORKS OF JANE LANE**. A 210pp. review of all Jane Lane's books. Only five pounds from Britons Catholic Library, P.O. Box 554, London W8 6RS.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES: U.K. £6; OVERSEAS £8 OR U.S. \$15 AIRMAIL

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD. [Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]